## Internship Assessment Form

**MSC Science and Technology of Nuclear Fusion**

Responsible Department: Applied Physics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name student</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student reg. number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>FUSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company/Institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title Internship report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plagiarism check on report has been conducted: □

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading Internship</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Execution of the research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Short motivation of the assessment:

**Background.**

**Assessment.**

Result (passed): Passed  
assessment:  

___________________________________________.

Signature supervisor
Assessment Criteria Internship MSc Applied Physics

Specification of grades:

General remark: the assessment should be based on the performance of the student in the company (in case the internship was conducted in the Netherlands) or in the international research group where the internship has taken place.

10: Excellent: unique performance which seldom occurs
9: Very good: outstanding performance which seldom occurs
8: Good: performance of high quality, appropriate for a student who independently can conduct a research assignment
7: More than sufficient: performance at the level of above satisfactory work
6: Sufficient: performance that meets the minimum requirements
5 or less: Insufficient

CRITERIA TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION IN THE ASSESSMENT OF THE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS

1. Report
   a. Clarity/readability
   b. Quality overview progress of research topic (incl. bibliography/Literature review)
   c. Quality of scientific arguments
   d. Structure/organization (formulation of research question-approach-analysis-conclusions)
   e. Time management

2. Presentation
   a. Able to convey the essence of the research
   b. Structure/organization
   c. Presentation skills
   d. Quality of material presented

3. Implementation of the work itself
   a. Scientific level of achieved results
   b. The work can be published
   c. Quality of implemented research project
   d. Creativity/originality
   e. Independent implementation of work/needed supervision
   f. Analytical skills
   g. Effort/dedication
   h. Time management